



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

a part of a conversation has been put in evidence by one party, the other party is entitled to explain, vary or contradict it." Also defendant should be allowed to show that the deceased made statements in apparent contradiction to her dying declaration.

Statute of Frauds—Evidence—Usage and Custom.—*Salomon v. McRae*, 47 Pac. Rep. 409 (Col.). The fact that a bill of goods is marked "O. K.", followed by the agent's name, is not a sufficient memorandum, within the Statute of Frauds, to bind the agent on a contract of guaranty for all goods sold on credit. Evidence of a usage or custom is inadmissible to show that the letters used implied a guaranty of payment.

FEDERAL JURISDICTION.

Federal Jurisdiction—Creditors' Bill—State Judgment.—*First Nat. Bank of Chicago v. Steinway et al.*, 77 Fed. Rep. 661. A creditors' bill was filed by the plaintiff against the defendant, a citizen of another State, to reach equitable assets, the amount in question being sufficient to sustain the jurisdiction of the federal court. The bill was demurred to, on the ground that it was not maintainable, because based upon a judgment rendered by a State court, and because statutory remedies were available in the State courts. The court, in overruling these demurrers, held that "the equity jurisdiction of the circuit court of the United States cannot be taken away or diminished by State legislation."

Federal Courts—Jurisdictional Amount—Recoupment.—*Pickham v. Wheeler-Bliss Mfg. Co.*, 77 Fed. Rep. 663. When in an action in a Circuit Court to recover an alleged balance due of over \$2,000, the amount in question is reduced by counter-claims to less than that sum, the court does not lose jurisdiction, if the plaintiff had no knowledge of such set-off before bringing the suit.

Criminal Law—Federal Jurisdiction—Larceny in Post Office.—77 Fed. 170, D.C. (Ind.).—*U. S. v. Saunders*. Felony in building used in part as post-office, does not come under federal jurisdiction unless felony is attempted to be committed within the part so used. Failure to so distinguish held ground for demurrer in *U. S. v. Campbell*, 16 Fed. 233. Indictment stating that the money stolen belonged to U. S. held sufficient. *U. S. v. Williams*, 57 Fed. 201.